

## Optimizing PMU Placement in Distribution Systems for Enhanced State Estimation Amid Power System Disruptions

Puvikko Chezhan V<sup>1</sup>, Subramanian Srikrishna<sup>2</sup>, Ganesan Sivarajan<sup>3</sup> and Naveen Kumar Kaliyan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Government College of Engineering, Salem

<sup>4</sup>Department of Instrumentation and Control Engineering, Sri Manakula Vinayagar Engineering College, Pondicherry, India

\*Corresponding author: Puvikko Chezhan V

### **Abstract:**

*This study presents a novel approach to achieve complete system observability by optimizing the placement of Phasor Measuring Units (PMUs), reducing the risk of fault identification. The process considers both the redundancy and the cost of installation. The proposed solution methodology improves upon existing algorithms by utilizing the Butterfly Optimization Algorithm (BOA), which identifies optimal PMU locations. Resilient fault detection techniques are employed to detect and mitigate disruptions in the power grid swiftly. Addressing transmission line faults, the research integrates a Deep Learning Network (DLN) to enhance the state estimation process during fault conditions. Simulations of fault transients, including LG (Line-to-Ground), LLG (Line-to-Line-to-Ground), and LL (Line-to-Line) faults, are conducted using MATLAB Software. The Neural Network (NN) response is evaluated based on two key hyperparameters—the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons utilised for feature extraction. Results demonstrate the superiority of the proposed method, with approximately 85% fault detection accuracy and a system performance metric of 90%. Additionally, the processing time required for training the network is small.*

**Keywords:** PMU, State Estimation, Fault Detection, Optimization, Distribution System, Machine Learning.

## 1. Introduction


### 1.1 Distribution Power System Faults

There are numerous encounters observed when the phasor measurement units (PMUs) are located for full network observability. Most of the researchers perceived communication facilities as the most noteworthy feature that affects the PMU procurement and installation costs. The second most substantial issue is the security requirements where the users built an either mission-critical or mission-support system that determines voltage stability, the major delinquent over earlier ages that regulates the maximum load ability limit of the buses. If the PMUs are placed on the critical buses, then the Fast Voltage Stability Index (FVSI) is minimized, and the Weak Bus Observability Index (WBOI) is maximized. Even though the placement of PMUs offers complete network observability, the cost of placing the PMUs should be condensed because the typical overall cost of PMUs<sup>1</sup> ranges from \$14000 to \$30000. In most developing countries, if the cost of PMU placements at a particular location is high, then it is not conceivable to place the PMUs in the chosen location. Therefore, the cost of placement of PMUs should be less than the overall cost of the connected synchrophasor system outlays.

The precise location of faults is determined using techniques such as fault current analysis, time-domain reflectometry, and travelling wave analysis. In this study, as in **Fig 1** we use Optimal PMU Placement (OPP) alongside the Butterfly Optimization Algorithm. By incorporating Machine Learning (ML), the fault identification process is further enhanced, providing improved security and reliability in power system management.

### 1.2 Challenges in Present Distribution System State Estimation

Monitoring multiple buses simultaneously in an active grid is essential for preventing faults. This can be achieved by placing PMUs in optimal locations and minimizing the System Maximizing Redundancy Index (SMRI). While existing fault detection systems simulate and train based on specific scenarios, advanced deep learning and machine learning algorithms offer better detection capabilities. By leveraging these technologies, the grid can be better understood, providing enhanced control and fault prevention.



**Fig. 1** Sustainable PMU placements for smart grid development

### 1.3 Research Review on Distribution System State Estimation

This study begins by examining the shortcomings of the traditional Fault Data Self Synchronization (FDSS) algorithm, which estimates the initial delay difference at both terminals using zero-crossing time and current polarity. To improve the speed and accuracy of fault detection, we propose a centralized backup protection system combining delta algorithms with the least-squares method. PMUs and micro-PMUs are emerging technologies that enhance real-time grid monitoring, fault detection, and overall grid safety. Ongoing research focuses on integrating micro-PMUs with control systems for more efficient fault detection.

The research also explores PMU-based Distributed State Estimation (DSE) methods, which introduce equality constraints to reduce numerical instability and improve computational efficiency. By integrating machine learning models and advanced optimization techniques, the study seeks to refine PMU placements and enhance the accuracy of fault detection, which has been shown in **Fig 2**.

**Table 1** Consolidated Research Review

| Ref | Year | Author                           | Algorithm / Approaches                                  | Objectives |                              |                           |                                |
|-----|------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
|     |      |                                  |                                                         | Min OPP    | Resilience & Fault Detection | Artificial Neural Network | OPP & ML-based Fault detection |
| 3   | 2023 | Andic, C., et al                 | Crow Search Algorithm                                   | Yes        | No                           | No                        | Absence of Prior Reports       |
| 8   | 2021 | Chavez, J. J., et al             | Fault Locator for Transmission Backup Protection        | No         | Yes                          | No                        |                                |
| 12  | 2021 | F. G. Duque, et al               | Modified Monkey Search Algorithm                        | Yes        | No                           | No                        |                                |
| 13  | 2023 | G. S. Dua, et al                 | Fault Detection Technique for Distribution Networks     | No         | Yes                          |                           |                                |
| 18  | 2023 | M. Mukherjee and B. K. S. Roy,   | Binary Carnivorous Plant Algorithm                      | Yes        | No                           | No                        |                                |
| 22  | 2023 | Pattanaik, V <i>et., al.</i>     | Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm                         | Yes        | No                           | No                        |                                |
| 23  | 2006 | Peng, J <i>et., al.</i>          | Tabu Search Algorithm                                   | Yes        | No                           | No                        |                                |
| 24  | 2023 | Q. -H. Ngo, et al                | Graph Neural Networks                                   | No         | No                           | Yes                       |                                |
| 27  | 2023 | Rezapour, H., et al              | Artificial Intelligence-Based Fault Location Methods    | No         | Yes                          | Yes                       |                                |
| 28  | 2021 | Sonal, & Ghosh, D.               | Resilience Assessment of a Distribution System          | Yes        | Yes                          | No                        |                                |
| 29  | 2023 | Tshenyego, O <i>et., al.</i>     | Binary Firefly Algorithm                                | Yes        | No                           | No                        |                                |
| 30  | 2017 | V. Basetti and A. K. Chandel,    | Taguchi Binary Bat Algorithm                            | Yes        | No                           | No                        |                                |
| 32  | 2023 | Y Raghuvamsi, Kiran Teeparthi, A | State Estimation Uncertainty Issues Using Deep Learning | No         | No                           | Yes                       |                                |
|     | 2023 | <b>Puvikko et al</b>             | Butterfly Optimization Algorithm                        | Yes        | Yes                          | Yes                       | Yes                            |

In addition with Table 1, various metaheuristic algorithms have been applied to address the challenge of optimizing PMU (Phasor Measurement Unit) placement. These include the *Crow Search Algorithm* [3], *Modified Monkey Search* [12], *Binary Carnivorous Plant Search Algorithm* [18], *Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm* [22], *Tabu Search Algorithm* [23], *Binary Firefly Algorithm* [31], and *Taguchi Binary Bat Algorithm* [32]. These algorithms are employed to find the best configuration for PMU placement, optimizing the monitoring of power systems.

## 1.4 Research Hiatus

Despite advancements in power system monitoring, achieving optimal power system resilience remains a significant challenge, particularly in radial networks. The methods discussed in this paper address critical limitations in current fault location and PMU placement techniques. Traditional fault detection approaches often fail to fully influence the potential of deep learning. This research aims to fill these gaps by optimizing PMU placement and enhancing fault detection using machine learning models.

## 1.5 Problem Statement

This research addresses the gaps in current fault detection methods by providing a comprehensive framework for improving power system resilience. By using deep learning methods and optimizing PMU placement, authors are targeting to improve fault detection and system monitoring, particularly in radial networks.


## 1.6 Motivation and Objectives

The primary objectives of this study are:

- To determine optimal PMU placements in radial networks for improved observability and control.
- To enhance machine learning models for accurately locating and classifying faults.
- To ensure quick fault detection and system recovery through deep learning models, minimizing downtime.
- Ultimately, to strengthen the power system's resilience, enabling it to withstand and recover from faults.

## 1.7 Organization of the Work

The organization of this paper is carried out as follows. Section 2 describes the recent research works related to the OPP and metaheuristics. The proposed methodology is explained in Section 3 as a BOA. Section 4 is enclosed with a performance analysis of the proposed system 4 and the overall conclusion of the proposed algorithm is given in Section 5



**Fig 2.** Flow Chart: Functioning of the Current Method

## 2. Formulation of the Distribution System State Estimation

In an  $N$ -bus power system configuration equipped with  $m$  voltage and current phasor measurements, the relationship between these measurements and the system state vector can be expressed through a nonlinear matrix equation. This equation reflects the interaction between the measured data and the internal variables of the system.

The following nonlinear equation describes the relationship:

$$\delta Z = \begin{bmatrix} \delta Z_1 \\ \delta Z_2 \\ \vdots \\ \delta Z_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(\ddot{x}_1, \ddot{x}_2, \dots, \ddot{x}_n) \\ h_2(\ddot{x}_1, \ddot{x}_2, \dots, \ddot{x}_n) \\ \vdots \\ h_m(\ddot{x}_1, \ddot{x}_2, \dots, \ddot{x}_n) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_{r1} \\ e_{r2} \\ \vdots \\ e_{rm} \end{bmatrix} = h(\ddot{x}) + e_r \quad (1)$$

where  $h$  represents the nonlinear measurement function,  $x$  denotes the state vector, and  $r$  represents measurement errors. When the system is fully observable, the rank of the Jacobian matrix  $H$  matches the size of the state vector. In this context, the Weighted Least Squares (WLS) state estimation method is commonly employed to minimize the weighted sum of the squares of the measurement residuals. Each residual is weighted according to its associated error covariance.

The WLS objective function is expressed as:

$$J(x) = (\delta Z - h(\ddot{x}))^T R^{-1} (\delta Z - h(\ddot{x})) \quad (2)$$

The Gain matrix is constructed by combining the Jacobian matrix ( $H$ ) and the error covariance matrix ( $R$ ) measurement. The covariance matrix is presumed to have a diagonal structure, with the variances of measurements occupying its diagonal entries. This results in the formation of the Gain Matrix as follows:

$$J(x^k) = H^T R^{-1} \quad (3)$$

Its Where  $H = \frac{\partial h(\ddot{x})}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1(\ddot{x})}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_1(\ddot{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h_m(\ddot{x})}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_m(\ddot{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$  is the Jacobian matrix, and  $R$  is the diagonal matrix with a

value  $\epsilon_i^2$ , where  $\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^2 & \dots & . \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ . & \dots & \epsilon_m^2 \end{bmatrix}$  is the standard deviation of the error associated with  $i^{th}$  measurement.  $m$

is the number of measurements, and  $n$  is the number of state variables.

## 2.1 Objective Function-1: Optimal PMU Placement

The objective of the OPP problem is to determine the minimum number of PMUs needed to achieve full system observability. This objective can be formulated as a nonlinear optimization problem within the WLS framework:

$$WLS_x = \min \sum_{i=1}^N W_i * X_i^2 \quad (4)$$

subject to the constraint that each bus is observed by at least one PMU:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N X_i \geq 1 \quad (5)$$

where  $P_i$  is a binary variable that indicates whether a PMU is placed at bus  $i$  (1 if placed, 0 otherwise), and  $c_i$  represents the cost associated with placing a PMU at bus  $i$ . The constraint ensures that each bus is observed by at least one PMU, either directly or indirectly.

## 2.2 Objective Function-2: SMRI Optimization

In this scenario, the SMRI is maximized to enhance system observability. SMRI is defined as the number of times each bus is observed, either directly or indirectly, through the placement of PMUs. It is mathematically represented as:

$$SMRI_{max} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^N R_j^k \quad (6)$$

where  $n$  is the number of buses in the system, and  $O_j$  represents the number of times bus  $j$  is observed by the installed PMUs. The goal is to maximize the SMRI while minimizing the number of PMUs used.

## 3. Optimal PMU Locations for Distribution System State Estimation

### 3.1 Why Optimization?

The placement of PMUs in the distribution system is a complex, non-linear problem. a major factor in placing PMUs in optimal locations. Several algorithms have been proposed to address this challenge in the literature which have low time response, as an attempt we utilize

BOA which emerging as a highly effective method for determining optimal PMU locations. The BOA mimics the natural foraging behaviour of butterflies, using both global and local search strategies to find the best locations for PMU installation.

The BOA's strengths lie in its adaptability to various optimization problems, ease of implementation, and scalability. These attributes make it well-suited for the task of optimizing PMU placement, ensuring that the system remains fully observable under different operating conditions.

### **3.2 Implementation of BOA for PMU Locations**

The BOA is based on the natural movements of butterflies as in Fig 3, which rely on sensory receptors to detect food sources. The global search process involves butterflies moving towards the best-known location, while the local search process involves movement based on the fragrances released by nearby butterflies.

### **3.3 Optimal PMU Locations using BOA**

In the context of PMU placement, the global search process represents the exploration of potential PMU locations across the entire system, while the local search focuses on refining the placement of PMUs in specific regions.

The BOA's optimization process includes the following steps:


**STEP 1:** Initialization of the algorithm and problem parameters.

**STEP 2:** Initialization of the population of butterflies (PMU placements).

**STEP 3:** Calculation of fitness values (system observability).

**STEP 4:** Updating the population based on global and local search strategies.

**STEP 5:** Checking for convergence and terminating the process when the optimal solution is found



**Fig.3** Flowchart of the general BOA steps

## 4. Fault Identification and Classification

The BOA has proven to be an efficient tool for optimizing PMU placement, yielding a high SMRI in various test scenarios, including the IEEE 33-bus system. However, to maximize the benefits of optimal PMU placement, it is essential to employ a reliable learning algorithm for fault identification, as grid conditions are constantly evolving. ML algorithms, particularly Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), offer the flexibility and adaptability necessary for efficient fault detection.

### 4.1 Learning Algorithm

In this work, the fault identification process is enhanced using machine learning algorithms, which operate through iterative trial-and-error approaches to achieve high efficiency. Given that the system is constantly subject to changes and fault conditions, the adaptability of machine learning makes it a suitable choice for improving fault detection accuracy.

The training process for machine learning models is crucial. In our case, repeated training with variations in the dataset allows the model to generalize better as in Fig.4, reaching an accuracy of around 85%. Without an adaptable algorithm, the improvements made during the OPP process would not be fully utilized. As system faults vary over time, it is essential to have a machine learning algorithm capable of adapting to these dynamic changes, ensuring both accuracy and speed in fault detection.

### 4.2 Implementation


The implementation of the learning algorithm for fault location is closely tied to the quality and size of the dataset, as well as the choice of neural network architecture. The steps involved in implementing the machine learning approach include:

**Data Collection and Preparation:** Data is collected from transmission lines, including fault locations and relevant features such as voltage, current, and power measurements. The dataset is labelled, and data is divided into training, validation, and test sets.

**Data Pre-processing:** Input and output data are normalized to bring them to a similar scale. Fault location labels are encoded, and the data is prepared for the neural network model.

**Neural Network Architecture:** The chosen architecture is a feed-forward neural network, which connects input features to output classifications through hidden layers. The number of hidden layers and neurons in each layer is determined based on the complexity of the problem.

**Training:** The neural network is trained using the Bayesian regularization algorithm, implemented via the Levenberg-Marquardt optimization method. This method adjusts the weights and biases of the network to minimize error. Training is terminated once the maximum number of epochs is reached or the desired level of performance is achieved.



**Fig.4** Machine Learning Flowchart

### 4.3 Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm

The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm is designed to minimize the sum of squared residuals in nonlinear least-squares problems. The objective function is formulated as:

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \sum [f(x; p) - y]^2$$

where

- $f(x; p)$  represents the model function with parameters  $p$  and input  $x$ , and
- $y$  represents the observed data.

The algorithm combines aspects of gradient descent and the Gauss-Newton method, adjusting parameters iteratively to reach the optimal solution.

In our system, the algorithm modifies the weights and biases of the neural network based on the following update rule:

$$jj = jX * jX$$

$$je = jX * E$$


$$dX = -(jj + I * \mu) \setminus je$$

where  $J$  is the Jacobian matrix,  $I$  is the identity matrix, and  $e$  is the error vector. The adaptive variable  $\mu$  ensures stability and prevents the algorithm from getting stuck in local minima. Training is completed when one of the following conditions is met:

- Maximum training time is reached.
- The desired performance level is achieved.
- The performance gradient becomes too small.

### 4.4 ML Utilization over the OPP

**Fig.5** Illustrates the single-line circuit diagram of the IEEE 33-bus system, which includes bus classification into three zones and the corresponding locations of PMU placement.



**Fig 5.** Bus classification scheme

Selected PMU measurements for fault identification include voltage, current, angle, and power information. These variables are stored in a matrix structure to mitigate storage and memory issues associated with ANN. The PMU reading selection is as follows.

- Zone 1 controller ANN1 - (PMU<sub>mat1</sub> PMU<sub>2</sub> PMU<sub>3</sub> ..... PMU<sub>10</sub>) -
- Zone 2 controller ANN2 - (PMU<sub>mat1</sub> PMU<sub>2</sub> PMU<sub>3</sub> ..... PMU<sub>10</sub>) –
- Zone 3 Controller ANN3- (PMU<sub>3</sub> ..... PMU<sub>10</sub>)

**Table 2** indicates that to monitor the entire system effectively, and **Table 3** for Comparison of Optimal PMU Spots and Redundancy Evaluation ,where 10 PMUs are necessary. **Fig. 6** illustrates the implementation of the PMU model for executing fault detection within the network.

Short circuit faults are a primary cause of power outages in electrical networks, typically classified into four main types: LG, LL, LLG, and LLL, primarily analyzed at the transmission level. However, at the distribution level, these fault types result in ten different phase combinations due to their imbalance and asymmetry. In the proposed system, LG and LLG faults are analyzed, following the same procedure for other types of faults. Consequently, the case studies do not include faults other than LG and LLG. Faults are generated at each bus and a MATLAB model is employed to compute the voltage and magnitude for each bus. The data collected from all buses is then used as the ANN input.

The **Fig.7** illustrates a simulation of a fault occurring at bus 1. This means that in the depicted visual diagram, a fault scenario, such as a short circuit or another type of electrical fault, is simulated at

the specific location denoted as "bus 1." The purpose of the simulation is to analyze the impact of the fault on the electrical system and its components at this particular bus within the more extensive power network.

The ANN is trained using input data collected from the PMUs after the fault analysis. When a fault occurs in the system, the ANN promptly compares the received input data from the PMUs with the trained data. This comparison allows the ANN to accurately identify the fault type in the system and pinpoint the fault's location. This process involves the ANN recognizing deviations in the measurement's indicative of a fault. By analysing these deviations, the ANN can classify the fault type (e.g., LG, LLG) and determine the specific location within the system where the fault has occurred. **Fig. 8** shows the PMU voltage and currents during the flexibility.

## 5. Simulation Findings, Analysis, and Discussion

To validate the proposed model, a variety of systems, including conventional IEEE test cases, were analyzed. All simulations were performed using MATLAB, and fault identification was tested across multiple test systems, including the IEEE 33-bus system.

*Test Case 1: IEEE RBTS -2*

*Test Case 2: IEEE 15 Bus system*

*Test Case 3: IEEE 33 Bus system*

*Test Case 4: IEEE 69 Bus system*

*Test Case 5: IEEE 85 Bus system*

### 5.1 Sensor (PMU) Location Strategy for Distributed State Estimation

The BOA successfully identified the optimal PMU locations for several IEEE test systems, ensuring maximum system observability. The results, summarized in Table 2, show the efficiency of the BOA in minimizing the number of PMUs required for complete system monitoring. For example, nine PMUs were sufficient to monitor the entire IEEE RBTS-2 Test System, with optimal placement at buses 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21, and 23.

**Table 2** Optimal PMU Spots Ensuring Maximum System Observability through BOA Algorithm


| Test System   | PMUs | PMU Location                                                                                 | SMRI | Latency in Sec |
|---------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------|
| IEEE 15       | 5    | 2, 4, 9, 11, 13                                                                              | 20   | 0.023          |
| IEEE RBTS - 2 | 9    | 1,4,7,10,13,16,19,21,23                                                                      | 24   | 0.050          |
| IEEE 33       | 10   | 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 21, 24, 27, 30                                                          | 34   | 0.071          |
| IEEE 69       | 23   | 1,3,5,8,12,15,18,21,24,27,30,33,38,41,44,48,50,52,55,58,61,64,69                             | 81   | 0.144          |
| IEEE 85       | 32   | 2,4,6,8,10,12,13,15,17,19,22,24,26,27,29,32,35,37,41,45,47,50,53,55,58,62,64,67,70,73, 81,84 | 102  | 0.196          |

**Table 3.** Comparison of Optimal PMU Spots and Redundancy Evaluation


| Methods         | IEEE 15 Bus |      | IEEE 33 Bus |      | IEEE 69 Bus |      | IEEE 85 Bus |      |
|-----------------|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|
|                 | PMU         | SMRI | PMU         | SMRI | PMU         | SMRI | PMU         | SMRI |
| Proposed Method | 5           | 20   | 10          | 34   | 23          | 81   | 32          | 102  |
| AGA [23]        | -           | -    | 11          | 33   | 26          | 84   | -           | -    |
| Greedy [24]     | 7           | 22   | 14          | 38   | 27          | 85   | -           | -    |
| CES [25]        | -           | -    | 11          | 33   | 25          | 82   | -           | -    |
| NSGA [26]       | 5           | 19   | 11          | 32   | 23          | 80   | -           | -    |
| MST [10]        | 5           | 19   | 11          | 32   | 23          | 80   | -           | -    |
| ACO [2]         | 6           | 21   | 12          | 36   | 24          | 82   | -           | -    |

## 5.2 Fault Detection Using Machine Learning in Distributed State Estimation


The machine learning model was trained using the MATLAB Simulink toolbox to detect faults using input data from PMUs. The model was tested on the IEEE 33-bus system, where short-circuit faults, including LG and LLG faults, were simulated. The ANN was able to accurately classify and locate faults in the system by analysing deviations in voltage and current measurements from the PMUs.




**Fig.6.** Implementation of PMU unit in MATLAB



**Fig 7.** MATLAB model for Fault simulation in BUS




**Fig.8.**PMU Voltage and Current for LG Fault



**Fig. 9** Machine Learning Model's Training Performance



**Fig.10.** Error histogram with 20 bins



**Fig.11.** Machine Learning Model's Performance on a Validation Dataset

The simulation results for fault detection are depicted in **Fig. 6** through **Fig.11**. For instance, **Fig.8** illustrates the PMU voltage and current measurements during an LG fault. The machine learning model demonstrated a high level of accuracy in identifying faults, with training performance reaching a peak accuracy of 99.8% after 182 epochs, as shown in **Fig. 9**. Furthermore, **Fig.10**'s error histogram confirms that most predictions had errors close to zero, indicating strong model performance. **Fig.11** shows Machine Learning Model's Performance on a Validation Dataset.

### 5.3. Observations from the Results

The following key observations can be drawn from the simulation results:

- The use of the BOA significantly improved efficiency over other optimization methods. This resulted in better placement of PMUs for dynamic state estimation modules.
- The SMRI calculated for the IEEE 33-bus system showed higher efficiency compared to other methods, primarily due to the superior optimization capabilities of BOA.
- Repeated training of the ML model, based on dynamic situations, improved fault detection accuracy. This adaptability allowed the system to respond to a variety of real-world fault conditions more effectively than single, simulated processes.
- Machine learning models, particularly neural networks, proved to be an open-source and flexible solution that can be continually refined and adapted over time for better performance.
- The graphical results demonstrated that the training and performance of the machine learning model remained consistent, even in dynamic estimation systems. This reliability makes it applicable to real-world grid management scenarios.
- From a practical standpoint, this research offers a solution that can be directly implemented in distribution systems. The proposed method, with its integrated use of BOA for PMU placement and machine learning for fault detection, helps enhance grid stability by predicting and preventing faults.

## 6. Conclusion

The integration of deep learning techniques for fault detection and PMU placement optimization in radial power distribution networks significantly enhances system resilience. In this study, we leveraged advanced artificial intelligence methods to improve two critical aspects of power system management: fault detection and real-time monitoring.

Through the use of the BOA, we were able to determine optimal PMU placements, maximizing system observability while minimizing the number of required units. At the same time, the machine learning models, particularly neural networks, demonstrated high efficiency in detecting and classifying faults with an accuracy rate of around 85%. The use of MATLAB-based simulations for fault conditions, such as LG and LLG faults, provided a robust validation framework for the proposed approach.

By implementing these techniques, power systems can detect and locate faults more accurately and in real-time. This significantly reduces system downtime and enhances the overall resilience of the grid, ensuring that it can quickly recover from disturbances while maintaining continuous operation.

## **6.1 Core Takeaways**

**Optimized PMU Placement:** The use of BOA enables the strategic placement of PMUs, minimizing cost and maximizing system observability. **Enhanced Fault Detection:** Deep learning models, such as neural networks, can identify and classify faults with high accuracy, improving fault management in radial power networks. **System Resilience:** The proposed framework enhances the power system's ability to withstand and recover from faults, reducing disruptions to end-users and increasing grid stability.

## **Societal Implications and Contribution**

The research findings contribute directly to the practical implementation of fault detection and monitoring systems within distribution grids. The improvements in system observability and fault detection efficiency pave the way for more reliable electricity networks, which is crucial in reducing energy poverty and ensuring sustainable energy supplies. The application of green energy, supported by real-time monitoring with PMUs, also has the potential to significantly reduce emissions and promote the use of renewable resources.

## Authors Contribution

**Puvikko Chezian V:** Methodology, Conceptualization, Investigation, Software, Resources, Data Curation, Writing-original Draft, Writing-Review and editing, Visualization, Validation, **Subramanian Srikrishna:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Funding Acquisition, **Ganesan Sivarajan:** Formal Analysis, Supervision, and **Naveen Kumar Kaliyan:** Supervision, Software.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Annamalai University authorities for their unwavering advice and assistance during the conduct of this study work.

## Declaration of conflicting interests

Concerning the research, writing, and/or publication of this paper, the author(s) have disclosed no potential conflicts of interest.

## Funding

The following funds were accepted by the author(s) to conduct research, write, and publish this article: This work is being funded by the Tamil Nadu State Council of Higher Education (TANSCH) under the RGP/2019-20/AMU/HECP-0033 Research Grant Project. MATLAB version 2022b is used for studies in the Power System Simulation lab at Annamalai University's Department of Electrical Engineering.

## References

1. Adhikari, P. M., Vanfretti, L., Chang, H., & Kar, K. (2023). Real-Time Control of a Battery Energy Storage System Using a Reconfigurable Synchrophasor-Based Control System †. *Energies*, 16(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16196909>
2. Ahmed Amirul Arefin, Application of the phasor measurement unit for protecting unintentional islanding of the distribution system, Taha Selim Ustun, *Power System Protection in Future Smart Grids*, Academic Press, 2024, Pages 109-132, ISBN 9780323917803. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91780-3.00005-2>
3. Andic, C., Ozturk, A., & Turkay, B. (2023). A robust crow search algorithm-based power system state estimation. *Energy Reports*, 9, 490–501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egy.2023.09.075>

4. André Luís da Silva Pessoa, Mário Oleskovicz, Fault location algorithm for distribution systems with distributed generation based on impedance and metaheuristic methods, *Electric Power Systems Research*, Volume 225, 2023, 109871, ISSN 0378-7796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2023.109871>
5. Babu, R., & Gupta, V. K. (2023). Analysis of Bus Vulnerability Conducted Using a Synchronized Phasor Measurement Unit in Order to Achieve the Maximum Observability. *Transactions on Energy Systems and Engineering Applications*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.32397/tesea.vol4.n2.523>
6. Biswas, S., Follum, J., Etingov, P., Fan, X., & Yin, T. (2023). An Open-Source Library of Phasor Measurement Unit Data Capturing Real Bulk Power Systems Behavior. *IEEE Access*, 11, 108852–108863. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3321317>
7. C. Zhou, G. Zou, M. Zheng, J. Tian, H. Li and T. Du, "Reference Phasor-Based Data Self-Synchronization Scheme for Line Current Differential Protection in Active Distribution Networks," in *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2023.3313188>
8. Chavez, J. J., Kumar, N. V., Azizi, S., Guardado, J. L., Rueda, J., Palensky, P., Terzija, V., & Popov, M. (2021). PMU-voltage drop based fault locator for transmission backup protection. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2021.107188>
9. Ciancetta, Fabrizio, Fioravanti, Andrea, Luiso, Mario, Mari, Simone, Perfetti, Andrea and Silvestri, Andrea. "Performance of PMU in an electric distribution grid during transients" *International Journal of Emerging Electric Power Systems*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PQ.2019.8818247>
10. Devi, M.M., Geethanjali, M.: ‘Hybrid of Genetic Algorithm and Minimum Spanning Tree method for optimal PMU placements’ *Measurement*, 2020, 154, p. 107476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2020.107476>
11. F. Ahmad, A. Rasool, E. Ozsoy, S. Rajasekar, A. Sabanovic, and M. Elita, “Distribution system state estimation-A step towards smart grid,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.06.071>
12. F. G. Duque, L. W. De Oliveira, E. J. De Oliveira, and J. C. S. De Souza, “A cost-benefit multiobjective approach for placement of meters in electrical distribution systems,” *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, vol. 191, no. September 2020, p. 106897, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2020.106897>

13. G. S. Dua, B. Tyagi and V. Kumar, "Fault Detection Technique for Distribution Networks and Microgrids Using Synchrophasor Data," in IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2023.3305362>
14. Hassini, K., Fakhfakh, A., & Derbel, F. (2023). Optimal Placement of  $\mu$ PMUs in Distribution Networks with Adaptive Topology Changes. *Energies*, 16(20), 7047. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16207047>
15. J. Im, J. Ban, Y. -J. Kim and J. Zhao, "PMU-based Distributed State Estimation to Enhance the Numerical Stability Using Equality Constraints," in IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2023.3303230>
16. Lee, K. Y., Park, J. S., & Kim, Y. S. (2021). Optimal placement of PMU to enhance supervised learning-based pseudo-measurement modelling accuracy in distribution network. *Energies*, 14(22). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14227767>
17. Lin, W., Wang, Q., Long, J., Lian, Z., Liang, H., & Liang, Z. (2023). Optimization method of PMU placements base on costs and risk assessments of state estimation. *Energy Reports*, 9, 348–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2023.09.106>
18. M. Mukherjee and B. K. S. Roy, "Optimal  $\mu$ PMU, PDC, and Communication Link Placement in Distribution Network Using Binary Carnivorous Plant Algorithm," in IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2023.3304616>
19. N. H. A. Rahman and A. F. Zobaa, "Optimal PMU placement using topology transformation method in power systems," *J. Adv. Res.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 625–634, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2016.06.003>
20. Nikolaos P. Theodorakatos, Angelos P. Moschoudis, Miltiadis D. Lytras, Konstantinos T. Kantoutsis; Research on optimization procedure of PMU positioning problem achieving maximum observability based on heuristic algorithms. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 28 September 2023; 2872 (1): 120032. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0162934>
21. Nikolaos P. Theodorakatos; Miltiadis D. Lytras; Konstantinos T. Kantoutsis; Angelos P. Moschoudis; Christos A. Theodoridis Optimization-based optimal PMU placement for power state

estimation and fault observability AIP Conf. Proc. 2872, 120033 (2023)

<https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0162941>

22. Pattanaik, V., Malika, B. K., Rout, P. K., & Sahu, B. K. (2023). Contingency-resilient PMU placement using Fuzzy Logic and Discrete Artificial Bee Colony algorithm for comprehensive network observability. *E-Prime - Advances in Electrical Engineering, Electronics and Energy*, 5.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prime.2023.100275>

23. Peng, J., Sun, Y., & Wang, H. F. (2006). Optimal PMU placement for full network observability using Tabu search algorithm. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 28(4), 223–

231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2005.05.005>

24. Q. -H. Ngo, B. L. H. Nguyen, T. V. Vu and T. Ngo, "State Estimation for Power Distribution System Using Graph Neural Networks," 2023 IEEE Electric Ship Technologies Symposium (ESTS), Alexandria, VA, USA, 2023, pp. 441-446. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ESTS56571.2023.10220523>

25. R. Andreoni, D. Macii, S. Member, M. Brunelli, and D. Petri, "Tri-Objective Optimal PMU Placement Including Accurate State Estimation : The Case of Distribution Systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3074579>

26. Rashidi, F., Abiri, E., Niknam, T., & Salehi, M. R. (2015). Optimal placement of PMUs with limited number of channels for complete topological observability of power systems under various contingencies. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 67, 125–137.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.11.015>

27. Rezapour, H., Jamali, S., & Bahmanyar, A. (2023). Review on Artificial Intelligence-Based Fault Location Methods in Power Distribution Networks. In *Energies* (Vol. 16, Issue 12). MDPI.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/en16124636>

28. Sonal, & Ghosh, D. (2021). Novel trends in resilience assessment of a distribution system using synchrophasor application: A literature review. In *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems* (Vol. 31, Issue 8). John Wiley and Sons Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2050-7038.12934>

29. Todescato, M., Carli, R., Schenato, L., & Barchi, G. (2020). Smart Grid State Estimation with PMUs Time Synchronization Errors. *Energies*, 13(19), 5148. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13195148>

30. Tshenyego, O., Samikannu, R., Mtengi, B., Mosalaosi, M., & Sigwele, T. (2023). A Graph-Theoretic Approach for Optimal Phasor Measurement Units Placement Using Binary Firefly Algorithm. *Energies*, 16(18), 6550. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16186550>
  31. V. Basetti and A. K. Chandel, "Optimal PMU placement for power system observability using Taguchi binary bat algorithm," *Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed.*, vol. 95, no. September, pp. 8–20, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2016.09.031>
  32. Xiangyu Kong, Yuting Wang, Xiaoxiao Yuan and Li Yu, 'Multi-Objective for PMU Placement in Compressed Distribution Network Considering Cost and Accuracy of State Estimation', *Appl. Sci.* 2019, 9, 1515, pp. 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9071515>
  33. Y Raghuvamsi, Kiran Teeparthi, A review on distribution system state estimation uncertainty issues using deep learning approaches, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Volume 187, 2023, 113752, ISSN 1364-0321, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113752>
  34. Makhadmeh, S. N., Al-Betar, M. A., Abasi, A. K., Awadallah, M. A., Doush, I. A., Alyasseri, Z. A. A., & Alomari, O. A. (2023). Recent Advances in Butterfly Optimization Algorithm, Its Versions and Applications. In *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering* (Vol. 30, Issue 2, pp. 1399–1420). Springer Science and Business Media B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-022-09843-3>
  35. IEEE PES AMPS DSAS Test Feeder Working Group. (2023, 02 September). Online Available: [http://sites.ieee.org/pes-testfeeders/.](http://sites.ieee.org/pes-testfeeders/)
- Ngo, Quang-Ha, et al. "Physics-informed graphical neural network for power system state estimation." *Applied Energy* 358 (2024): 122602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2023.122602>