

Cyber Law and the Metaverse: Legal Challenges in Virtual Realities

Siri Chandana Kathyayani, Subhameera, Dhanusri Pandiyan Dr. Gomathy

U.G. Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SCSVMV University, Kanchipuram, India

U.G. Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SCSVMV University, Kanchipuram, India

U.G. Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SCSVMV University, Kanchipuram, India

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SCSVMV University, Kanchipuram, India

ABSTRACT: The metaverse is a virtually built environment that combines augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), and blockchain technology. The new environment raises new legal challenges. The users are in contact via avatars, trade goods and services via cryptocurrencies, and own virtual assets like non-fungible tokens (NFTs). Conventional cyber legislation struggles to deal with this. This research ventures into the new legal complexities of virtual worlds, focusing on digital identity, property rights, virtual harassment, and jurisdictional intricacies. It also indicates the insufficiency of existing cyber laws to cope with such complexities and proposes how to strengthen legal regulation of immersive digital worlds.

KEYWORDS: T Metaverse, Cyber Law, Virtual Reality, Digital Jurisdiction, NFT Ownership, Virtual Identity, Cyber Harassment.

I. INTRODUCTION

The metaverse represents a breakthrough in the development of the internet towards a ubiquitous, persistent, interactive virtual world where individuals socialize, economically and creatively interact. As virtual worlds fill up with more users, legal matters regarding law, rights, and obligations gain greater significance. While the concept of cyberspace has been around for several years, the greater complexity and realism of newer metaverse environments necessitate scrutiny of current law. Cyber law, governing what people do on the net and conduct commerce on, now needs to address evolved, interactive, and often anonymized virtual relations.

II. DIGITAL IDENTITY AND LEGAL RECOGNITION

T In the metaverse, individuals assume digital personas, typically fully disconnected from the physical self. Although this is liberating to the creative self, it leads to extremely severe legal and moral issues. Impersonation via avatars, identity theft, and fraud offenses are on the rise.

No legal status of digital identity exists in existing cyber laws. It is difficult to prosecute anyone when an avatar commits an offense of defamation or commits a crime. It is extremely crucial to legalize digital personas and put accountability mechanisms in place to prevent abuse..

III. VIRTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

Arguably the most controversial aspect of the metaverse is virtual ownership. Individuals can purchase virtual property, wearables, and art, usually in the form of non-fungible tokens (NFT)s. They are virtual assets with monetary value in the physical world but dubious legal status.

Smart contracts on a blockchain enable such transactions, but intellectual property and ownership disputes are common. Legislation is ineffective in dealing with the decentralized and pseudonymous nature of blockchain-based property. Legal codes must recognize virtual property and provide mechanisms for resolution to safeguard user rights.

IV. HARASSMENT AND ABUSE IN VIRTUAL ENVIRONMENTS

With greater social interaction within VR environments, problems such as virtual harassment and abuse are also coming to the forefront. There have been reported cases of verbal abuse, stalking, and even virtual sexual assault through haptic feedback and proximity within VR environments.

Current cyberbullying and harassment laws were written for text and image media, not immersive 3D environments. There is a pressing need for new provisions of law that account for the psychological impact of online behavior and sanction perpetrators, possibly through platform moderation or digital evidence collection..

V. DICTIONAL COMPLEXITY IN VIRTUAL SPACES

Identifying the relevant jurisdiction is one of the primary legal issues in the metaverse. Geographical boundaries are a major component of traditional legal systems. On the other hand, people from many nations can engage simultaneously on websites hosted by servers that are widely dispersed over the globe thanks to the metaverse.

5.1. Cross-Border Transactions and Jurisdiction

Imagine a transaction between a user from Country A selling a virtual asset to a user from Country B on a platform hosted in Country C. Issues crop up: whose law will apply to this transaction? Are foreign judgments binding?

Lex locus contracts theory, which relates to local jurisdiction that mandates formation of a contract, is unclear in a purely virtual environment. Some scholars recommend adding "choice of law" provisions in terms of service (ToS) so that the site may select the law to govern. However, the provision cannot supersede local consumers' protection under mandatory provisions or trump consumer protection laws.

Example: Virtual Land Disputes In virtual property sites like Decentral and or The Sandbox, virtual land ownership or use disputes are jurisdictional problems. Although these sites are governed by the corporate laws of their place of incorporation, their users are global, so means of legal remedies are complex.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

1. **Legal Recognition of Avatars** - There should be legal clarity regarding a digital identity and an individuals' responsibilities for actions within a metaverse.
2. **Virtual Property Law** - Laws are needed to acknowledge ownership which includes consumer protection in commercial practices with digital commodities.
3. **Platform Accountability** - There needs to be reasonable moderation and reporting methods required by immersive platforms.
4. **International Cooperation** - International law needs to be developed to mediate transnational metaverse disputes.

Digital Evidence - Standard protocols need to be developed on how to capture, preserve, and present digital evidence with in virtual environments.

VII. CONCLUSION

The metaverse affects how people meet, interact and transact and when any major cultural change occurs, new laws are required to help individuals express themselves legally in this new digital space. Digital identity theft, virtual property disputes, immersion harassment, and other jurisdictional concerns need legal protections. In order to ensure users are protected and this new frontier is developed ethically, legislators, technologists and platform providers need to create a legal

REFERENCES

- [1] Rahartomo, A., et al. (2025). The Dilemma of Privacy Protection for Developers in the Metaverse. arXiv.
- [2] ScienceDirect (2025). Metaverse Policing: A Systematic Literature Review of Challenges and Opportunities.
- [3] Nature (2025). Identity, Crimes, and Law Enforcement in the Metaverse.
- [4] SSRN (2025). Liability for Wrongful Behaviour in the Metaverse.
- [5] Record of Law (2025). Legal Challenges in the Metaverse.
- [6] Wikipedia (2025). Metaverse Law.
- [7] Yang, L., et al. (2024). Metaverse Identity: Core Principles and Critical Challenges. arXiv.
- [8] Hine, E., et al. (2024). Are We Ready for the Metaverse? Journal of Information Policy.
- [9] Law School Policy Review (2024). The Dark Side of Metaverse: Navigating the Crisis of Unregulated Virtual Reality.
- [10] MIT Technology Review (2024). The Legal Grey Areas of the Metaverse.
- [11] World Economic Forum (2024). Defining and Building the Metaverse: What's Next?
- [12] Meta (2024). Community Standards and Virtual Conduct Guidelines.
- [13] Decentraland (2024). Terms of Use and Land Ownership Policies.
- [14] Chander, A. (2024). The Law of the Metaverse. UC Davis School of Law.