Quantum Computing vs. Artificial Intelligence: A Comparative Analysis

Mr. Vyshak R.[1] & Ms. Ruksana Banu[2]

[1] Assistant Professor, Department of Cyber Security and Cyber Forensics, Srinivas University Institute of Engineering and Technology, Mukka, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India, ORCHIDID: 0009-0002-2587-0291.

[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Srinivas University Institute of Engineering and Technology, Mukka, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India, ORCHIDID: 0009-0002-2587-0291.

Abstract

In the twenty-first century, two of the most revolutionary technologies are Quantum Computing and Artificial Intelligence (AI). Although they both provide innovative potential, their underlying ideologies are very different. While AI uses classical computing to see patterns and make data-driven decisions, quantum computing uses quantum mechanics to handle data in whole new ways. Through a comparison of the two fields, this article shows how the special features of quantum computing present opportunities as well as obstacles that are different from those experienced by AI. Taking into account current advancements in Quantum hardware and cooperative research, the study examines the basic issues that set quantum computing apart from artificial intelligence as well as its applications and guiding principles.

Keywords- quantum computing, artificial intelligence, analysis, AI, QEC

I. Introduction

The latest phase of research and development in a variety of fields is being fuelled by the confluence of AI with quantum computing, which has revolutionary potential for applications that go beyond what is possible with traditional computers. Despite their independent strength, these disciplines have enormous potential when united. Known for utilizing concepts like superposition and entanglement, quantum computing has the potential to perform computations at rates far faster than their conventional equivalents, paving the way for advances in artificial intelligence and other fields.

One of the most important problems in quantum computing is error correction, which is addressed in this work by IBM Research. Considering how sensitive quantum states are, even slight environmental perturbations can cause mistakes that make calculations unreliable. Therefore, Quantum Error Correcting (QEC) methods are crucial to dependable large-scale quantum computation. Theoretical frameworks and practical approaches for QEC are explored in IBM's research, which is essential for resilient quantum computers that may power sophisticated AI applications and more [1]. In quantum computing, researchers even achieved a historic feat by proving "quantum supremacy". They achieved a major milestone when their programmable superconducting processor outperformed all contemporary conventional computers in solving a challenging sampling issue. The computing potential of quantum computers is demonstrated in this experiment, and they may eventually be able to process the enormous volumes of data needed for sophisticated AI models more quickly than current classical systems [2]. It sheds light on the real-world uses of quantum computing in banking, particularly in risk analysis and optimization, where high-dimensional data processing is essential. The ability of quantum computing to resolve challenging optimization issues is consistent with AI-driven developments in finance, including fraud detection and portfolio optimization. This combination of AI and quantum computing creates opportunities for predictive modelling and real-time analysis in a variety of industries, including finance, and offers a preview of potential future uses in other data-intensive sectors like logistics and healthcare [3].

The prospect of implementing quantum-powered AI applications in fields like healthcare, chemistry, climate research, and encryption is becoming increasingly real as new developments like IBM's 127-qubit "Eagle" processor appear and worldwide investments in quantum infrastructure rise. The ultimate effects of quantum computing might be anything from improving predicting AI models to speeding up drug development, making it an essential field of multidisciplinary study. This paper will examine how these technologies differ in their foundational principles, problem-solving approaches, and development challenges.

II. Fundamental Concepts

A. Quantum Computing

Quantum computing advantage through the use of a superconducting quantum processor with 60 qubits. This milestone shows that certain tasks that are impossible for classical systems may be solved by quantum computers. Many users may use quantum resources for intricate calculations in a cloud setting, highlighting the scalability of quantum computers and their potential to improve computing workloads [4]. The method of building quantum computers "one qubit at a time," which tackles the problems of error correction and qubit coherence. In order to integrate quantum computing into cloud platforms and provide dependable access to quantum resources, these fundamental advancements are essential. The study emphasizes the value of modular development in creating scalable quantum systems which enable a range of cloud-based applications, including as machine learning and optimization [5].

The present phase of quantum computing, known as the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era, is defined by systems with a restricted number of qubits and noise problems. Pre-skill highlights how crucial it is to use cloud computing to broaden utilization of quantum systems so that, in spite of hardware constraints, researchers may conduct experiments and create quantum algorithms. In order to address difficult issues, the study imagines a hybrid future in which quantum and conventional resources are seamlessly merged via the cloud [6].

B. Artificial Intelligence

An introduction to deep learning that highlights its capacity to autonomously learn data representations in a hierarchical fashion. It explains the design of deep neural networks, such as convolutional and recurrent neural networks, and how well they operate in domains like speech recognition, computer vision, and natural language processing [7].

The dependence on deep learning on large datasets and its incapacity to manage tasks involving common sense, logic, or comprehension of causal linkages are criticized in this critical evaluation of deep learning. In order to create AI systems that are more resilient and interpretable, Marcus contends that deep learning must be combined with symbolic reasoning and other techniques [8].

III. Key Differences Between Quantum Computing and AI

A. Computational Power

The ability of quantum computing to take use of quantum fault tolerance and error correction, which are essential for preserving qubit coherence while computing. With these capabilities, quantum systems may handle exponentially massive datasets and carry out factorization and quantum simulations with previously unheard-of efficiency. Because quantum computers take use of entanglement and superposition, they can process several answers at once, something that traditional AI is unable to do [9].

Grover's search and Shor's factorization are two examples of algorithms that demonstrate how quantum computing might beat traditional AI in addressing extremely complicated problems, particularly ones with exponentially increasing processing demands. Because of this feature, quantum computing is essentially distinct from artificial intelligence (AI), which uses repeated data processing and training on massive datasets to find patterns and provide predictions [10].

B. Problem-Solving Approaches

When concentrating on particle-hole Hamiltonian calculations for electronic structure. Understanding molecular interactions and characteristics, which are computationally demanding for traditional approaches, requires these computations. In order to greatly improve efficiency and scalability, the authors show how to optimize quantum algorithms, including the particle-hole transformation. Because precise electronic structure modelling is essential for material design and molecular discovery in domains like quantum chemistry, this method has a particularly significant influence [11].

To emphasize how quantum systems can more accurately mimic chemical processes and molecular interactions than conventional approaches, allowing for faster and more accurate simulations. This highlights how quantum computing has the potential to completely transform drug development where capacity solves intricate optimization issues that are exclusive to the pharmaceutical sector, hence addressing difficulties in discovering possible drug candidates [12].

C. Applications

IBM and Moderna have partnered to investigate how quantum computing might help drive mRNA technology development, which is essential to creating mRNA-based medicines and vaccines. Utilizing IBM's quantum computing abilities to maximize efficiency and simulate chemical interactions more effectively than traditional computer techniques is the main goal of this partnership. In order to better comprehend protein structures and enhance drug development pipelines, the project is expected to speed up mRNA design and analysis procedures. As part of the collaboration, Moderna will be able to test quantum-based solutions specifically designed for mRNA research and beyond thanks to IBM's Quantum Accelerator program, that grants access to IBM's quantum technologies and expertise [13][14].

D. Limitations

The method of quantum electrodynamics (QED), which uses microwave photons to control qubits in superconducting quantum computers, is essential. Circuit QED facilitates more accurate manipulation and enhanced qubit coherence, although problems with scalability and error correction still exist [15]. The technological obstacles and possibilities of quantum computing, emphasizing the significance of scalability, coherence, and error correction in the development of workable quantum computers. To achieve dependable, large-scale quantum computing, it emphasizes the necessity of fault-tolerant designs [16].

IV. Challenges in Development

A. Quantum Computing Challenges

There are a number of important resources that offer in-depth viewpoints on how curricular learning in AI and the latest developments in quantum computing may be integrated. The process of curriculum learning, which involves training AI models gradually, improves efficiency and flexibility. This might be advantageous in hybrid quantum-AI systems since it can simplify the data processing and optimization tasks required for quantum simulations [17][18].

In order to stabilize qubits and preserve coherence in quantum systems, quantum error correction has advanced, as demonstrated by IBM's "Eagle" processor. These advancements are crucial for fault tolerance because they allow for dependable, prolonged calculations, which may eventually provide more scalable quantum-AI systems [18]

In particular, quantum machine learning (QML) has demonstrated potential in supporting neural network training tasks which would be computationally demanding for conventional AI by utilizing quantum methods. This collaboration may hasten the training of AI models and more effectively address optimization issues [19]

B. AI Development Challenges

Large-scale AI models like OpenAI's GPT and other deep neural networks have significant resource requirements, which hybrid quantum-AI systems are beginning to address. The computing time and energy often needed for difficult AI tasks might be decreased by these quantum-enhanced systems, which use quantum concepts like superposition and entanglement to analyse information concurrently over several channels [20], [21]. In order to speed up neural network training and optimization, for example, hybrid algorithms have been piloted primarily by Amazon's Braket service and IBM's Eagle processor [21], [23].

These developments are in line with studies on Quantum Machine Learning (QML), that combines machine learning with quantum algorithms to possibly expedite data-intensive AI tasks like training and decision-making. Nonetheless, extensive quantum integration in artificial intelligence applications is still hampered by existing hardware constraints like qubit coherence and error correction [22], [23].

V. Synergies Between Quantum Computing and AI

The potential of quantum computing to enhance artificial intelligence is demonstrated by Quantum Machine Learning (QML), that seeks to leverage the special characteristics of quantum computing to solve problems more quickly than conventional machine learning techniques [24], [25]. The potential of systems like IBM's Eagle processor to speed up deep learning operations and optimize intricate procedures like neural network training and large-scale data processing is being investigated [25]. These initiatives make use of quantum algorithms that have the potential to significantly outperform classical methods alone when employed in hybrid quantum-classical configurations [26].

According to IBM's research, quantum-enhanced models have the potential to solve complicated optimization problems more quickly than traditional AI, which might increase accuracy and decrease training time [24]. This feature might be particularly helpful in applications that need to handle large amounts of data, including drug development and medical imaging, where typical AI techniques are constrained by computer power [25]. Quantum kernel techniques are also being researched by researchers because they enable quantum computers to perform crucial machine learning tasks like pattern recognition more effectively [24].

VI. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence and quantum computing are two different but related technology fields. Even though artificial intelligence (AI) has advanced significantly and is used in many fields, quantum computing remains in its development. But recent developments in quantum hardware, like IBM's simulations demonstrating quantum superiority and the global expansion of quantum infrastructure, point to a rapidly developing field that could have an impact on a number of industries, including materials science and healthcare, among others.

The majority of the difficulties in quantum computing were technological in nature, concentrating on error correction and hardware development. AI concerns, on the other hand, are centred on data handling, algorithmic advancements, and ethical issues. These two domains are expected to come into contact as they develop further, with quantum computing perhaps providing major future advances in AI capabilities.

References

1. IBM Research, "Quantum error correction in quantum computing systems," IBM Journal of Research and Development, vol. 65, no. 6, 2021.
2. Arute, F., et al., "Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor," Nature, vol. 574, pp. 505–510, 2019.
3. Bova, M. C., et al., "Quantum computing for finance: Advances and prospects," Journal of Quantitative Finance, vol. 19, pp. 441–453, 2019.
4. Zhu, Q., et al., "Quantum computational advantage via 60-qubit superconducting quantum processor," Science Bulletin, vol. 66, no. 22, pp. 2381–2387, 2021.
5. Gambetta, J. M., et al., "Building a quantum computer one qubit at a time," Science, vol. 372, no. 6545, 2021.
6. Preskill, J., "Quantum computing in the NISQ era and beyond," Quantum, vol. 2, p. 79, 2018.
7. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., and Hinton, G., "Deep learning," Nature, vol. 521, pp. 436–444, 2015.
8. Marcus, G., "Deep learning: A critical appraisal," arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.00631, 2018.
9. Gottesman, D., "Quantum error correction and fault tolerance," arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.03507, 2016.
10. Childs, A. M., et al., "Quantum algorithms: A survey," Annual Review of Computer Science, vol. 3, pp. 305–326, 2021.
11. Kivlichan, I. D., et al., "Quantum algorithms for electronic structure calculations: Particle-hole Hamiltonian," Physical Review Letters, vol. 120, no. 110501, 2018.
12. Cao, Y., Romero, J., and Aspuru-Guzik, A., "Potential of quantum computing for drug discovery," Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, vol. 19, pp. 180–193, 2020.
13. IBM and Moderna Partner to Explore Quantum Computing and AI for mRNA Science." [Online]. https://newsroom.ibm.com
14. Moderna and IBM to Use AI and Quantum Computing to Advance Messenger RNA Technology." Published April 21, 2023. [Online]. https://dig.watch
15. Blais, A., et al., "Circuit quantum electrodynamics," Nature Physics, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 247–256, 2020.
16. Ladd, T. D., et al., "Quantum computers," Nature, vol. 464, pp. 45–53, 2010.
17. Schuld, M., & Petruccione, F. Quantum Machine Learning: An Exciting Synergy between Quantum Computing and Machine Learning. Springer, 2018.
18. IBM Research. "Accelerating AI through Quantum Computing." Available: https://research.ibm.com.
19. Cerezo, M., Sone, A., Volkoff, T., Cincio, L., & Coles, P. J. "Hybrid Quantum-Classical Algorithms and Quantum Machine Learning for Data Science." Nature Reviews Physics, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 625–644, 2021.
20. Kumar, S., & Wong, K. "Quantum Machine Learning for Data Science Applications," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, pp. 203028-203050.
21. M. Schuld and F. Petruccione, "Quantum machine learning: An exciting synergy between quantum computing and machine learning," Quantum Machine Learning, Springer, 2018. This book explores how quantum algorithms are being designed to enhance traditional machine learning, specifically in optimizing complex neural network architectures.
22. IBM Research, "Accelerating AI through Quantum Computing," [Online]. Available: https://research.ibm.com. This source details IBM's initiatives in Quantum-AI research, including IBM's Eagle processor and Qiskit, which support the development of hybrid quantum-classical models.
23. M. Cerezo, A. Sone, T. Volkoff, L. Cincio, and P. J. Coles, "Hybrid Quantum-Classical Algorithms and Quantum Machine Learning for Data Science," Nature Reviews Physics, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 625–644, 2021. This paper offers an overview of the potential for hybrid quantum algorithms to improve data-intensive processes, like model training in AI.

24. "Quantum AI Software: A Review of Capabilities and Limitations," Open AI Master, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://openaimaster.com. This review covers the landscape of QML platforms, including IBM, Amazon, and Microsoft's quantum services, discussing their applicability to AI optimization challenges
25. A. Abbas, D. Sutter, and S. Wörner, "The power of quantum neural networks," Nature Computational Science, vol. 1, pp. 403–409, 2021. Available: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-021-03724-2
26. IBM Research, "Quantum Machine Learning," IBM Quantum Computing Blog. [Online]. Available: https://research.ibm.com/quantum
27. IEEE, "Quantum Computing and Deep Learning Working Together to Solve Optimization Problems," IEEE Conference Publication, IEEE Xplore, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9070854